

A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Vol. 61 No. 23

13th June 2025

IN THIS ISSUE

Hindsight Amidst Increased Tensions By Arnis Luks	55
Why Do Two Bulls Fight? By Neville Archibald	59
Bad King John and the Australian Constitution By Neville Archibald	61

Hindsight Amidst Increased Tensions By Arnis Luks

This morning's Briefing from *The New York Times* reinforces that: 'If a policy is pursued often enough it will always produce similar results'; reinforced by Sen Cori Bernardi's newsletter in OT last week's article 'The Ideal – and The Real'.

Trump has placed military troops on the ground in Los Angeles to quell the racial riots. Millions of immigrants have swamped the United States over the past couple of years setting up enclaves to adhere to their cultural norms, separating while remaining within the host nation. Northern Ireland is experiencing similar results as has Scotland. Europe has certainly experienced the same outcome. Since 1948 Palestine has also experienced a similar result with the pursuit of this policy to the point of dispossession from their traditional lands of millennia. https://www.theepochtimes.com/epochtv/the-communist-tactics-at-play-behind-the-la-riots-5872638?src_src The policy of multiculturalism (above a certain ratio) cannot produce a differing result. At a certain level the new arrivals insist on adhering to their cultural norms.

No matter how much propaganda and taxpayer funds are directed towards the Aboriginal Industry, the Australian psyche is dominantly European. Assimilation has occurred in reverse, with the dominant European arrivals adhering to their cultural norms and 80% of Aboriginals assimilating into this broader community. This is an unavoidable fact of life. Wishful thinking (ideal) cannot produce a differing result (real).

Australia will experience, not through lack of wishful thinking nor propaganda (ideal), similar results if the ratio of new arrivals is exceeded – also I might add, to the advantage of the government - with new arrivals' voting pattern being observed that 'suits' the incumbent, and being distributed amongst 'targeted electorates'.

A British government-department labelled 'Prevent', refers to 'concern' over the multiculturalism policy as 'becoming or supporting terrorism', and encourages anonymous-reporting of any 'observed concern' coming from anyone, anywhere. United States on the other hand, is openly discussing the policy at the highest levels of politics, media and the public. If only the media, politicians and the public did-not-discuss the observed results, it would most certainly succeed and there would be demonstrations-of-success of policy worldwide - somehow. <https://actearly.uk/>

Unfortunately, the news services have an obligation to report the results felt on the ground around the world. If only they were all brought into line by the UK 'Prevent' branch of the government, or better still world government – we can only hope.

Collectivism

Similarly, collectivism in its many manifestations around the world demonstrates the truth? of the same hypothesis, that if individuals and institutions do not observe and do not discuss the results of collectivism manifesting itself in each instance progressively into dictatorships 'of' the proletariat, every instance of its implementation would result in dictatorships 'by' the proletariat – no doubt. You see, it all makes sense if-only we all will-not-discuss and will-not-observe the results. The scientific method is obviously a racist-construct deserving of censorship. Sen Cori Bernardi and Sir Arthur Bryant were obviously wrong, as were all scientists.

With the recent escalation of military activity occurring in the Middle East, multiculturalism's ramifications become even more apparent. The last World War resulted with internment of peoples, even on Australian soil, based on their country of origin and potentially biased-loyalty being above loyalty to their new nation. While internment does provide cheap labour, the Fourth Industrial Revolution, even during hostilities, minimises the requirement to provide human labour. Bringing in significant-numbers of new arrivals from any nation we may one day be at war with, provides a ready demonstration of the shallow thinking of the multicult-policy.

De-Industrialise

The de-industrialisation of Australia over the past 50 years, also demonstrates the foolishness of 'another' policy. Can we industrially defend ourselves? Of course, all this was occurring while the thumbscrews of our future AI and Technology driven prison – called smart cities - was taking shape. Is there a shortage of land? This is not just the reconfiguring to medium-density housing: aka Stalinist-Baroque - multi-storey dwellings crammed shoulder to shoulder together- some almost windowless; but also the testing for deployment of the Cashless Card and Central Bank Digital Currency which both have the ability to be singularly-turned on or off from within the bounds of the totalitarian surveillance state.

The deployment of drones; some aerial, some land-based, and others for underwater activity, complement the human involvement in theatre s of military conflict. While Australian industry, as I believe, has only one location producing drones, China, on the other hand, has millions upon millions of military troops and equipment, complemented by the largest manufacturing base in the world.

It is noted that the USA is reviewing the AUKUS pact, Australia could be afforded the unenviable position of having no effective naval deterrent.

Touring Our Shores

A Chinese naval contingent only recently circumnavigated a good part of the Australian coastline without any type of firm military display from ourselves. This was preceded in June 2019 when a Chinese naval vessel waltzed unannounced, into Sydney Harbour to pick up 'baby formula'!?. Even the most gullible would find this reasoning difficult to accept - rather, a provocative display of military strength.

Former Sen Sam Dastyari's resignation in 2017 over his questionable ties to Chinese contacts, further illustrates the national security ramifications towards those who hold high office and negotiate any type of Foreign Agreement or Treaty.

Our current Prime Minister Albanese's 40-year alliance with Australian communism is also most disconcerting. At the height of the Cold War, the future Prime Minister mingled (freely) with suspected Soviet and Chinese agents, his ambition clear, and his future-in-power inevitable. <https://bookdp.com.au/products/comrade-prime-minister-albaneses-australian-b0dpgn96kf/>

Also, that such an unpopular political party, the ALP, achieving only a third of the primary vote, yet was miraculously able to pull an election-victory out of the jaws of certain political-defeat, becomes even more astounding, almost hard to believe.

The previous Victorian ALP Premier Dan Andrews' desire to link Australia's future with the Chinese Belt and Road Initiative is an important part of more-recent-history within this review of policies in an environment that world-war could again occur.

<https://www.cfr.org/background/chinas-massive-belt-and-road-initiative>

These policy failures weren't pursued in isolation, but now under the glaring spot-light of hindsight, reinforces the need for Australians to own and maintain their own utilities, especially all forms of energy and power distribution. And also ensure we have a sound and robust industrial base - ever functioning and at the ready to transition across for military purposes. After all, a standing army is a factor for peace. Defending our own borders is a basic human right necessary to uphold our security.

The USA review of AUKUS nuclear-submarines could be a timely opportunity to expand the SA Submarine Corporation to include nuclear propulsion, along with the refurbishment and redeployment-towards-defence of the Whyalla Steel Works.

These policies are not rocket science, but sound from the perspective of our national interest during a time of increased tensions where war could again occur.

Financial Policy

To enable a re-industrialisation policy to achieve its optimum potential, Australia must re-introduce the original charter of the Commonwealth Bank of Australia 1911. Going cap in hand to international bankers and transnational industrialists; to only then be permitted 'by them' to pursue legitimate purposes for Australia is absurd.

We must as a matter of security, issue our own credit to enable industry to expand to its optimum manufacturing capacity for our own defence purposes. Not being limited and beholdng by debt to international bankers, Australia could subsequently

utilise her abundant resources of raw materials, minerals, and technological know-how to re-industrialise to equip our relatively modest military forces.

By pursuing an independent financial policy, we could again provide all our own industrial requirements in this period of increased tensions and beyond.

Modern-day credit (currently issued out of thin air from central banks as debt obligations to themselves) is for all intents and purposes - a work-order that enables our community to direct their vastly under-utilised energy, raw materials, and initiatives in the pursuit of a legitimate national-defence policy.

Should a cheque of sufficient amount be written, and our industrialists receive an order to militarise Australia from the ground up; providing the cheque was honoured through the banking system (as was in World War I under CBA-Governor Sir Denison Miller), the energies of the entire nation would be directed to facilitate the defence of the nation - every man, woman, and child, supplemented by our actual potential - industrial, material and mineral-reserves, and our technological know-how and initiative, all being directed towards our own purposes, and being measured in terms of 'human potential' which is the real social credit being made available.

The control of financial policy is the first line of defence. With Constitutional Authority vested in our parliament/s, we are in a strategic advantage by having, and being readily available within our borders, all our own material, mineral and energy reserves to meet our requirements in the heady days ahead. While there is a distance between this review-in-hindsight and current national policies, hindsight reinforces the disparity of opinion. The discussion must begin with your current representative, and, the pathway forward may not be without obstacles and traps being put in the way. Other approaches need to be actioned-and-supported through your parliamentary Representative. After all, your Representative is only one person.

Save The Date

Plans are afoot to hold the Australian League of Rights National Seminar in Western Australia around 11th - 12th October. Mark Your Calendar.

Subscriptions are Now Due - and - The Basic Fund

All subscriptions fall due for the last day in June. In paying your subscription, please consider making an additional amount towards the ALOR Basic Fund.

Annual Subscription to '*On Target*' \$75.00 pa which includes an Insert, the *On Target* and the *NewTimes Survey* journals - printed and posted monthly.

Donations & Subscriptions can both be performed by Direct Bank Transfer to:

A/c Title Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)

BSB 105-044

A/c No. 188-040-840

Postal Address: PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.

Telephone: 08 8322 8923 eMail: heritagebooks@alor.org

Online Bookstore : <https://veritasbooks.com.au/>

Our main website of the Douglas Social Credit and the

Freedom Movement "Archives" :: <https://alor.org/>

On Target is printed and authorised by Arnis J. Luks

13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.

Why Do Two Bulls Fight? By Neville Archibald

There is a joke about an official who comes out to a property to inspect for illegal drugs being grown. The farmer is not impressed but accepts that this person has some authority. He then advises said official, to avoid a certain paddock, the one with the long grass! The official puffs himself up and produces a badge, waving it in front of the farmer's nose. He spouts a stream, a tirade of words about his powers under the act and under this badge and how, "You can't keep me out of anywhere, I'll go where I damn well please!"

The farmer backs off and leaves them to it. A short time later there is an unholy uproar, screaming and yelling and the official is running for their life as a bull (in the aforementioned paddock with the long grass) chases them, intent on goring them with its long, pointy, horns. The farmer, makes his way over to the paddock in time to see the bull gaining even more ground on the official. The official is aware now that it will catch up with them before they reach the fence, so the farmer calls out, "Your badge! Show him your badge!"

This joke is funny enough the first time you hear it! But it takes on more of a meaning when you realise, that there are people out there that actually think like that official. Not only that; but, as a representation of many a bureaucratic department, they too, are so sure of their abilities to rule over us in a social context, that they often miss seeing the bull in the long grass! The bull being representative of the reality of the way society functions.

In interfering in the way it works, they do irreparable damage and remove social confidence. The confidence that the community you live in, can still meet the needs you have for a better life and for those who come after you. Tear down our expectations and the way we have lived, and suddenly we are tearing either ourselves or our rulers apart.

We often do not recognise these bulls, until the paddock is cleared of the long grass – in this case – society no longer functions as it should and respect for authority is lost. The mob then becomes deranged. Witness the current riots in L.A. the deliberate dividing of a nation into warring camps, (Democrats and Republicans) is a repetition of the North and the South during the civil war. It is a setting up of some form of civil unrest. In a place like America, it has now become more ideological than race based. Purposely fostered by leaders at the highest of levels.

In Britain, we now see it becoming more of a Muslim vs the original society. Those who want their country to remain as it was, with the rule of law based on Christian principles, and those who have come in more recently, wanting to live under their laws (Sharia Law). The house guest is demanding the right to redecorate, or worse still, tear down the very house they are a guest in. Despite this unrest showing itself quite plainly over recent years, both parties in control have continued to allow more of this unrest to develop, by bringing in those very people who wish to live by rules different to those of the country they wish to call home.

In each case, America or Britain, the planned division of a Nation, has been just that, planned! The active policy of both sides of the duopoly of political parties has been to pursue this division, whatever flavouring or topping they put on it, it is still the same policy outcome.

With both sides doing similar things for supposedly different reason, even if you are still so naive to believe it is accidental or just mismanagement, you should still be able to see the result that has come about.

The bull, now exposed, is exercising his right to demand his territory is respected. He goes either for the badge wearing official – or if that official is smart and lets in another bull, they fight each other – and the official gets away.

To fight back against this excessive bureaucracy, as we are inclined to do when we see it ruining our lives, will lead to a bureaucracy defending itself. They label those who disagree as somehow working against society, as dangerous. They demonise us in the eyes of the rest. The affected are usually a smaller group than those who are not, or those who do not take notice. So the labelled, become the problem for society, not the interfering bureaucracy.

The organic farmers, protesting the poisoning of their properties (for often non existent fire ants) are trying to protect a lively-hood dependant on being pesticide and chemical free. This can cost them their business, but it soon becomes 'they themselves' who are viewed as somehow in the wrong – allowing the spread of a dangerous pest. The beekeepers, again, who had no evidence of varroa mite in their hives, were having them destroyed at one point. The papers and officials both demonised many who felt unjustly treated. When bee populations fall, so too does pollination and food producing ability. Attempts to deal with this mite in other ways, I have been told, falls on the deaf ears of those doing the enforcing. (this outlook has softened somewhat now)

The unvaxxed, cautious people, not willing to take part in an experimental therapy, designed at high speed (“the speed of science” ha ha) and very poorly tested (and certainly not tested for the longer term effects) were demonised as Granny Killers, among many other titles. Totally shunned by the promoters of bureaucratic imposition. Each time these reversals of who are the real demons, are backed and promoted by a clever and well funded group, intending to control and manipulate us into being subservient. The ultimate long term goal, as I see it, the consolidation of power in fewer and fewer hands – not us!

Unlike those bulls, we need to recognise that we should not blame each other, we have been manipulated. Those who are destroying our national-cohesion by various means are the ones making us fight. We need to replace those very officious-officials and come up with some who can see the realities of life in a united community.

The divide and conquer strategy is alive and well in the halls of power world wide. Next time you are asked to argue about policy, look to the reasoning behind it. Look at what outcome is being forced on us while we beef with each other about who is the best breed of bull.

Bad King John and the Australian Constitution By Neville Archibald

My comments on a paper of the same title by Harry Evans – Clerk of the Senate 1997

It is timely to look at what may happen when society loses touch with how it actually works. In his paper, Evans mentions the concept of a Roman citizen (2nd century BC) being brought back to life in this century. In today's Senate, he would recognise the layout of that institution and its function. He would know it was a body for debating and resolving public affairs. See page 5.

https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Harry%20Evans-Clerk_of_the_Senate/1997%20-%20Bad%20King%20John%20and%20the%20Australian%20Constitution.pdf

What can we take from this?

I think one of the most important takes at this point in time, is that of how a functioning society works and what means are used to establish the successful workings of large groups of people. It doesn't matter which civilisation we are looking at, the fundamentals for it to improve us, are the same.

The Romans were successful at this, despite their conquests, enslavements and other "primitive" (as we like to think them) social constructs. To be frank, we still suffer those very same problems, just in different forms and we just don't wish to believe we do!

Our slaves are mechanical (mechanisation/production processes and now AI) and even flesh sometimes, we do not recognise them as being so, but do however, use the term, "wage slaves". Although technically free, each wage slave is locked into bondage by their working status and the need for payments of their debts.

The debtor is the slave to the lender. (Proverbs, 22:7)

In conquests, today's big business takeovers, often do much the same thing, just in a more "civilised" way. Traditional owners of property/intellectual rights are often manipulated into selling out, sometimes in not so pretty ways, and almost always with some form of loss for the society built around that business. The consolidation of work, often means one factory remains where three previously existed.

Things do not change much from civilisation to civilisation, but social interactions that work and continue to improve our well being, are always based on true social laws. Laws which are as real as that of gravity – you obey them or not, at your own peril. As I said in the previous article, these are the laws that will assert themselves eventually even if we choose to ignore them.

Reading past literature (even from the 90s) which discuss these socially important laws around which our current structure is built, gives us the ability to see what we have, what we should have, and what we are fast losing. It should give you ammunition to fight back with, against those who wish to remove these correctly functioning laws and then replace them with laws that control and force us to obey; not lead us to seek improvement for ourselves.

The Constitution we have in Australia was founded after much discussion around these basic concepts and was designed to place limitations on governments such

that those who would usurp our control would find it difficult to do so. Alteration to this document was purposely made difficult, except by exceptional agreement of the populace. I don't believe it was ever envisaged that the majority of us would go to sleep and allow unscrupulous individuals to gain control. Yet this is the reality I believe we now face. It is up to those of us who are awake, to educate and wake those still sleeping. To do so means we must also educate ourselves.

Mr Evans' article is a relatively easy read at nine pages. It is a good start at bringing Constitutional discussion about. Including within it: constitutional development, Magna Carta, Bill of Rights, and governing systems. If you have any queries at all about these subjects, you would do well to begin here. You will see that a properly created constitution and it's supporting documentation are as important as the will of the people who it exists for.

Future Friendly! - Neville

I'm looking at a cup, a plastic cup! It has a wonderful name on it! - Future Friendly. This cup is recyclable. Have we conned ourselves into believing our own version of marketing hype? - that a single use item that is recyclable is celebrated as an achievement? I guess it is an improvement over - use and throw into landfill.

If you stop to think about it however, it still embodies a complete cycle of it's own to reuse. First you use it, throw it in a bin with other things, then it is taken away to be sorted/chipped/melted/moulded/set/wrapped/packed/shipped/unpacked/stacked/unwrapped/ then reused to once again throw into waste, where it starts all over again. Each of these actions require energy to do, either human or machine. Machines run on electricity or burn gas, shipping means trucks and diesel or similar, each part of that intricate process uses un-needed effort.

Compare that with a china cup, once the item is made, every use thereafter reduces it's initial creation energy-costs, sometimes for decades. Willow pattern china is over two hundred years old and still going - what does that say for efficiency when comparing those manufacturing costs?

The cup itself taken from the cupboard, used, placed on the sink to be washed, dried and placed back into the cupboard to await it's next use. Only hot water and elbow grease consumed (unless you have a dishwasher which will use electricity), but I think you get the idea.

I have heard of the "waste of water" used as an excuse, but that was always pretty lame to me. A marketing embellishment that appeals to lazy people convinced they are in a dry land. They could always do as I do, wash one cup, once a day and just keep refilling it!

Why do I focus on this clear plastic cup? To me, it embodies much of what is wrong in this failing society I live in. The use and forget mentality becomes first place to thoughtful consideration of our actions. All our actions should require some thought before being undertaken, even if for the briefest of moments. Marketing hype aside, our ability to think critically and take responsible action starts with the smallest of things sometimes, otherwise we will be lead by the nose to our own destruction.